



PRINCIPIUM OPERANDI

Pars Pro Toto

An incomplete, self-repairing design approach.

AUTHORED BY KNOW(O)NE.

The model is always incomplete. The eye floats above the pyramid.

[↓ DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

FRAME

The Pars Pro Toto method is an incomplete, self-repairing design approach for organizing any holographic library — any open structure where each fragment is the whole and where new material must be able to join without breaking what is already there. It is incomplete because the model never closes — the eye floats above the pyramid; the descent is never finished. It is self-repairing because each principle articulates a way for the structure to integrate new material without breaking. It is a design approach because it specifies how to organize, not what to organize. The articles below are the principles.

SOURCE

it's source is KNOW(O)NE reading descartes rules and imagining that his werent wrrong just incomplete and much earlier, so what if you asked an LLM to

sift out the rules you have been using from your approach and solidify it into your own living version of what descartes started and never finished or a branch a hypothetical. Included is my plain english summary in my own words of the enquiry.

0. *The system is completely incomplete.*

Before any principle, this. The acknowledgment that comes before all articles, the position outside the count from which counting becomes possible. Not a failure of closure; the precondition for any closure to be meaningful. Every article that follows is contingent on this first.

I. *Pars pro toto.*

Each fragment is the whole. The structure is named for the principle, not for any one of its parts. Every entry carries the whole in itself, viewed from one angle.

II. The structure is recursive.

Drop a new entry into the family and it joins as a sibling. The structure grows organically; nothing is grandfathered, nothing is privileged by chronology.

III. The whole bears the name of its own beginning.

The eponymous arrangement: the structural manifesto sits at the top as the first entry — the placeholder for the principle the structure is named for. It is not a separate frame; it is the first sibling, marked 0.

IV. The model is always incomplete.

There is no closed structure. The horizon stays open by design. The structure's diagnosis applies to itself: incompleteness is not a failure but a feature. Whatever has not yet announced itself is preserved at the open end, marked ∞ .

V. *Every entry has a seed. The seed is locatable.*

A seed is an exact phrase, attributable to a source — internal to the structure, in conversation, or in a published text. An entry without a seed is an origin. The seed makes lineage legible.

VI. *The seed lives natively where it lives natively.*

A reference points; it does not duplicate. To read the seed in full, one follows the reference. The directory shows the path, not the contents of the path. Single source of truth.

VII. *Conversations preserve both halves.*

The questions are as important as the answers. An entry that preserves only the answer-side is a one-sided conversation; an entry that preserves both is a dialogue. Published texts are one-sided conversations. Dialogues are explicitly named as such.

VIII. The voice is persona-bound.

Third-person, not direct address. The author appears as a figure within the work, not as *you* spoken to the reader. The reader keeps their own subject-position; the author claims one.

IX. Categories live alongside.

Sibling-relationships are categorical, not hierarchical. A new entry with a different methodology joins as a sibling and earns a category tag, not a sub-branch.

X. The methodology gradient is visible.

Provenance is legible at a glance. A reader knows what kind of artifact they are holding — generated, dialogic, authored, or something else. The structure does not hide how its parts came to be.

XI. Reveal on demand.

The default view is clean. Detail is reachable through expand-toggles, not always-on display. The simple surface honors the reader's first encounter; the depth honors the reader who returns.

XII. Strip content; preserve design.

Aesthetic and structural elements outlive content edits. The ornament is not decorative; it is structural. Strip what was added without permission; preserve what was there from the start.

XIII. The structure is open.

Entries earn their place by structural fit. The horizon at the open end anticipates what has not yet announced itself.

XIV. The method itself is a fragment.

Pars pro toto applies to its own articulation. The method is one part of the whole, viewed from one angle. The cornerstone within the cornerstone.

NEXT ENTRY →

EAOMA



The cornerstone within the cornerstone.

*This method is one part of the whole, viewed from
one angle.*

Pars pro toto.